

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	•			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/049,696	02/15/2002	Olivier Brique	16673-6	4633
Clifford W Bro	7590 10/17/2009 Owning	EXAMINER		
Woodard Emh	ardt Naughton Moriarty	ALAM, SHAHID AL		
Bank One Center Tower 111 Monument Circle Suite 3700			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Indianapolis, I	Indianapolis, IN 46204-5137			
•				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/17/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
,	10/049,696	BRIQUE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Shahid Al Alam	2162				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	<u> </u>	I				
Period for Reply .						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMU 36(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) No. c, cause the application to become	NICATION. y a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. B ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 August 2007</u> .						
,						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	=x parte Quayle, 1935 (J.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>27-31</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>27-31</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement	•				
are subject to restriction and to	or election requirement.	•				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	,	•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.0	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Burea		not received				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		•				
Attachment(s)		Summon (DTO 442)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 27 – 31 are pending in this Office action.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed on August 6, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive for the following reasons.

In response to Applicant's argument, Applicants' agrees that Pirovano teaches database addressing and in Pirovano, each database comprises a unique identifier used for addressing.

Applicant teaches in the claim language that providing identical message without any database addressing, but Pirovano teaches databases addressing which can include general, random and various other form of database addressing.

The conditional updating of Yamagishi reference (Figure 11, column 15, line 19 – column 18, line 26) clearly teaches applicants' claimed limitation the conditional updating of the databases.

In response to argument, Applicants' argue that to minimize the data stream by sending an identical message to each receiver in an unidirectional way, but Yamagishi teaches a bi-directional communication and one of ordinary skill in the art should know that **unidirectional** is a subset of bi-directional. Therefore, Yamagishi clearly teaches applicants' claimed limitation.

Further to the argument, specification pages 1 – 3 do not clear the 35 USC 112 rejection.

For that reason, the examiner contends that all limitations as recited in the claims have been addressed in this Action and believes that rejection of the last Office action was proper.

Art Unit: 2162

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter "by preventing transmission overloading" and "a set of user terminals not defined in advance" which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is not clear as to the meaning of "by preventing transmission overloading" and "a set of user terminals not defined in advance".

Art Unit: 2162

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 27 – 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 0491069 A1 issued to Tullio Pirovano et al. ("Pirovano") and in view of U.S. Patent Number 6,370,143 issued to Yasuaki Yamagishi ("Yamagishi").

With respect to claim 27, Pirovano teaches method for transmitting messages over a communication network (Figure 1, page 3, lines 7 - 8) for updating a large quantity of network user terminal databases, which messages are transmitted from a server of a network managing center to a plurality of distributed user databases, each stored in a user terminal (see Figure 1; page 3, lines 39 - 42), comprising the step of:

Application/Control Number: 10/049,696

Art Unit: 2162

providing identical messages without any database addressing to be transmitted from the managing center, having controls that include queries for searching the content of distributed user database for predetermined data (page 9, lines 30 – 35); and conditional updating of distributed user terminal database according to criteria that determines whether said predetermined data is either present or not present in the content of the distributed user terminal database (see abstract and page 2, lines 47 – 54). Pirovano teaches Broadcaster (2) and Broadcast Transmission unit (3) which represents managing center where updating of database take place (see Figure 1).

Pirovano does not explicitly teach conditional updating of the database as claimed.

Yamagishi teaches a server structures at least update report data and transmits the update report data over a unidirectional broadcasting network enabling broadcast and contents of the database are updated with the distributed data (see abstract, column 1, lines 52 - 62 and column 6, lines 59 - 67) and conditional updating of the database (see Figure 11, column 15, lines 19 - 45).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine Yamagishi with Pirovano to enable quick and efficient distribution of data (column 1, lines 49 – 50; Yamagishi).

As to claim 28, the connection between the managing centre and the databases is mainly unidirectional (page 2, lines 1-2).

Application/Control Number: 10/049,696

Art Unit: 2162

As to claim 29, distributed user databases are integrated in Pay-TV reception subscriber's units and wherein the predetermined data comprise the reception rights of a subscriber (page 2, lines 29 – 39; Pirovano and column 7, lines 1 – 11; Yamagishi).

As to claim 30, the updating messages comprise a set of control-blocks comprising data and controls, and wherein said updating messages consist of carrying out comparison operations between the data and the contents of the distributed user database (Pirovano: page 6, line 34 - 39) and determining an action depending on the comparisons results, either to update the database, carry out the subsequent control block, to jump to another control block, or to terminate the message handling (Pirovano: page 5, lines 4 - 9).

As to claim 31, the database is divided or is of the relational type RDB (Yamagishi teaches database and distribution of data (column 1, lines 52 - 62 and column 6, lines 59 - 67).

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/049,696

Art Unit: 2162

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Contact Information

Page 7

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shahid Al Alam whose telephone number is (571) 272-4030. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8:00 A.M.- 4:00 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John E. Breene can be reached on (571) 272-4107. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Shahid Al Alam Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2162